NEW SECTION

WAC 232-36-400 Commercial crop or livestock damage claim--Dispute resolution. For claims where the owner has met all claim eligibility criteria and procedures, but ultimately rejects the written settlement offer (order) for crop or livestock loss and/or value assessment, the provisions of this section shall apply:

Informal resolution:

- (1) If the owner rejects the property loss or value assessment and would like to discuss a negotiated settlement, he or she can request a meeting by notifying the department in writing within ten days of receiving the settlement offer or claim denial (order).
- (2) A department representative and the owner or designee(s) will meet and attempt to come to mutual resolution.
- (3) Monetary compensation or noncash compensation, mutually agreed upon by both the department and owner, shall be binding and constitute full and final payment for claim.
- (4) If parties cannot agree upon damages, the owner may elect to apply for an adjudicative proceeding pursuant to chapter 34.05 RCW.

Adjudicative proceeding:

(5) If the owner wishes to appeal the claim denial or the department settlement offer (order), the owner may request an adjudicative proceeding consistent with chapter 34.05 RCW within 6/8/10 9:23 AM [1] OTS-3003.1

sixty days of receiving the original order.

- (6) The request must comply with the following:
- (a) The request must be in writing, and the signed document may be mailed or submitted by fax or e-mail;
- (b) It must clearly identify the order being contested (or attach a copy of the order);
- (c) It must state the grounds on which the order is being contested and include the specific facts of the order that are relevant to the appeal; and
- (d) The request must identify the relief being requested from the proceeding (e.g., modifying specific provisions of the order).
- (7) The proceeding may only result in the reversal or modification of an order when the preponderance of evidence shows:
 - (a) The order was not authorized by law or rule;
 - (b) A fact stated in the order is materially incorrect;
- (c) The award amount offered is inconsistent with applicable and accepted procedures, rule, and/or law; or
- (d) Material information or evidence was made available by the owner at the time of the damage assessment, but was not considered in the order.
- (8) The burden of proof is on the appellant (owner) to show that he or she is eligible for a claim and that the damage assessment is reliable (see RCW 77.36.130(4)).
- (9) Findings of the hearings officer are subject to the annual funding limits appropriated by the legislature and payment rules (WAC 232-36-110(12) and 232-36-210(9)) of the commission.